Install the MEMSS package that contains data sets from the book "Mixed-effects Models in S and S-PLUS" that I wrote with José Pinheiro.

- 1. Check the structure of the Rail data and the documentation for it. It is a simple, one-factor, balanced design, similar to the Dyestuff data.
 - (a) Plot the data in a form that seems appropriate perhaps a dotplot by Rail sorted by increasing travel time joining the means of the travel times. Note that you probably don't want to use a comparative boxplot because there are only three observations per rail and you don't want to "summarize" three observations with five summary statistics.
 - (b) Fit a model with travel as the response and a simple, scalar random-effects term for the variable Rail. Use the REML criterion, which is the default. Check the dotplot (i.e. the "caterpillar" plot) for the random effects.
 - (c) Refit the model using maximum likelihood. Check the parameter estimates and, in the case of the fixed-effects parameter, its standard error. In what ways have the parameter estimates changed? In particular, which parameter estimates have not changed?
- 2. Consider the ergoStool data; check its stucture and summary. The stool types are fixed, the subjects in the experiment are chosen at random. The structure of the data are similar to the Penicillin data (unreplicated, completely crossed) but here we will consider the Type factor to have fixed levels.
 - (a) Plot the data. You may want to consider a plot similar to that of the Penicillin data shown on the slides.
 - (b) Fit a mixed-effects model to these data with fixed-effects for Type and a simple, scalar random effect for each level of Subject. A suitable formula could be

effort ~ 1 + Type + (1 | Subject)

What does the (Intercept) coefficient represent? What do the other fixed-effects terms represent? Change the formula to

effort ~ 0 + Type + (1 | Subject)

which suppresses the intercept term. What do the fixed-effects parameters represent now?

- (c) Fit a model with random effects for Type and for Subject. Check the fixed-effects parameter (the (Intercept) and the conditional means of the random effects. Check the caterpillar plot for the random-effects for the Type factor. How do the random effects compare to the corresponding fixed-effects parameters from the previous part in precision and position?
- 3. The MathAchieve data are similar to the classroom data but with school identifiers only. The variable MEANSES is the mean socio-economic status for students in the school.
 - (a) Check that MEANSES is defined consistently within a school. That is, check that each school has exactly one value for MEANSES.
 - (b) Determine the distribution of the number of students per school. You can use **xtabs** to get a count of the number of students in each school, then summarize that distribution, perhaps by a densityplot. Do you think you will be able to estimate school effects reasonably precisely?

- (c) Fit a model with fixed effects for Sex, Minority and MEANSES and simple scalar random effects for School. Compare the magnitudes of the estimates for the Sex and Minority terms to the shifts that you might observe in, say, comparative density plots.
- (d) Check the caterpillar plot of the random effects for school. Are there schools that seem clearly better or clearly worse than the typical school?
- (e) Fit a model with the previous fixed-effects term plus a Sex/Minority interaction term. A suitable formula would be

MathAch ~ Sex * Minority + MEANSES + (1 | School)

Is the interaction term significant?

- (f) (Optional) Other school-level covariates are given in the data frame MathAchSchool. Consider how you could merge these with the current variables (see ?merge). Examine these variables both graphically and by fitting and comparing models.
- 4. Consider the RatPupWeight data. Check its structure and a summary.
 - (a) Plot the data using an xyplot of the weight versus litter size with scatterplot smoother lines according to sex. Do you think there will be significant effects on weight due to the litter size and to the sex of the pup?
 - (b) Consider the pattern with respect to litter size. Replot versus the logarithm of the litter size. Does that enhance the linearity of the plot?
 - (c) Plot weight versus litter size or logarithm of litter size, whichever seems reasonable to you with groups according to the treatment. Do you expect significant effects due to treatment?
 - (d) Fit a mixed-effects model with random effects according to litter and with whatever fixedeffects terms you consider appropriate. Expand or contract the model as you see fit.
 - (e) Notice the outlier one rat pup was unusually low weight. Investigate the effect on your conclusions of omitting this observation.
- 5. Consider the Wheat data. Check its structure, summary and documentation.
 - (a) Plot the DryMatter by Moisture with groups for fertilizer. Try a log transformation of the DryMatter. Does that help to stabilize the patterns?
 - (b) Fit and analyze a mixed-effects model with random effects for Tray.